ER PROGRAM DATA ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT FORM

| Bat | ch No. <u>8909S078 - E0720</u> | | Site Area 2 - Hillside | |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Lat | oratory TMA/Eberline | | No. of Samples/Matrix _5/Wate | er |
| | | | Reviewer Org. TechLaw, Inc. | |
| San | nple Numbers <u>SW029006</u> | 5, SW029006D, SV | V029006FB, SW030006, SW035006 | |
| | | | vses by Liquid Scintillation ssessment Summary | |
| | | H ³ | Comments | |
| 1. | Holding Times | <u>v</u> | | |
| 2. | Initial and Continuing | V | | *************************************** |
| 3. | Calibrations Blanks | v | | |
| 4. | Lab Replicates | V | | |
| 5. | Lab Control Samples | R | See Action Item 1. | - |
| 6. | Quench and Efficiency | X | See Action Item 2, | |
| 7. | Sample Calculations | V | See Comment 1. | |
| 8. | Overall Assessment | R | See Comments. | |
| Dat | V = Data had no problems. A = Data acceptable but qualified due R = Data rejected. X = Problems, but do not affect data. a Quality: | | wed and found to be rejected. Refer to Action Items: | and Comment |
| Sect | tions for discussion. | | | |
| | | | | ************************************** |
| | | | | ED FOR CLASSIFICATION R. B. Hoffman 7 - 1(- 4 3 |
| | | | REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCNI | |
| | | | By Sewige H. Schlock | |

@ 6/27/90

A-0U01-000060

| Action Items: 1) Lab Control Samples: The observed value of four La | b Control Samples (LCS) run 12/20/89 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| fell outside 3 σ of the expected value. As a result the tritium samples run 12 | 2/20/89 were flagged (R) rejected. |
| 2) The laboratory SOP for tritium dated 2/80 was not followed for the a | analysis. However, the procedure used |
| conformed to current laboratory practices and does not affect the data. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ~ | |
| | • |
| Comments: 1) Sample Calculations: A calculation error was found in t | the tritium activity calculation for |
| sample SW029006FB. The reported value was 280 +/- 250 pCi/L, the corre | ected value was -40 +/- 240 pCi/L. |
| 2) The reported value of the laboratory control sample was 55,800 +/- 1 | 1100 pCi/L, 52,000 +/- 1100 pCi/L, |
| 42,800 +/- 900 pCi/L, and 50,000 +/- 1000 pCi/L. The expected value was | 48,400 pCi/L. The minimum detectable |
| activity of the analysis was 400 pCi/L. The lab control samples were blank | spikes. The laboratory Quality Control |
| Procedure dated 12/5/84 states that corrective action will be taken if the "qu | nality control values are outside the |
| 3 sigma control limits". | |
| 3) The tritium standard reference material traceable to NIST Material 4 | 927-C was diluted 11/5/86, the |
| secondary standard solution used for the Lab Control Standard was diluted 9 | 0/13/89. The primary standard solution |
| had reached its expiration date for performance tests. | |
| 4) The LCS and Blanks data provided for 12/20/89 showed that the tSI | E (quench monitor) values were |
| consistent. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Note: Data Summary Tables are attached. | |
| and the first of the second of | and the second second |
| $1/\sqrt{2}$ | 2-28-99 |
| Reviewer Signature | Date |

RADIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS ANALYTICAL RESULTS (PCVL)

TABLE #: 8909S078 - E0720

Page 1 of

Area 6 - Solar Pond SITE NAME:

| Sample Location | | | | | | | | | | H | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|---------------|------|--------|---------|-----------|---|------------|-------|------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|---|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|----------|---------------|---|----------|---------------|--|
| Sample Number | | SW029006 | 9006 | | SW02 | SW029006D | | SW029006FB | 006FB | S | SW030006 | မွ | SWC | SW035006 | | Reage | Reagent Blank | Res | Reagent Blank | ank | Reag | Reagent Blank | λ | Reage | Reagent Blank | |
| Sample Date | | 9/25/89 | 9 | | 68/52/6 | 6 | | 8/52/8 | | 6 | 9/25/89 | | 68/52/6 | 68/ | | 12/20/89 | 36 | 12% | 12/20/89 | | 12/20/89 | 68/ | | 12/20/89 | 39 | |
| Matrix | | Water | | | Water | | | Water | | 3 | Water | | Water | €. | | Water | | Water | ē | | Weter | Ĺ | | Water | | |
| | 占 | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | БСІЙ | Val. | | +/- DO | Val. | -/+ | g | Val. | -/+ | 8 | Val. + | ۵۵ * | val. | -/+ | 8 | Val. | -/+ | Val. | 1. +/- | | Val. | -/+ | | Val. | + | |
| Gross Alpha | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Beta | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Strontium | - | | | | | | | | | | | \dashv | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cesium | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radium 228 | - | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tritium | 400 | 400 110 U 240 | 240 | В | 70 U | 240 | ш | -40 ∪ 2 | 240 | R 26 | 260 U 240 | D B | | 270 U 240 | œ | 0 | 240 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 230 | | 0 | 240 | |
| Uranium 234 & 233 | 9.0 | | | | | | | | | | | - | _ | 4 | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | | |
| Uranium 235 | 9.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Uranium 238 | 9.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Plutonium 239 & 240 | 0.01 | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Americium 241 & 242 | 0.01 | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | 4 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Radium 226 | 0.05 | | | | | | | | 1 | _ | - | - | 4 | _ | _ | | | $\frac{1}{1}$ | \dashv | _ | _ | _ | | | | |
| Gamma scan | | | _ | | _ | | | | 1 | | \dashv | \dashv | 4 | 4 | 4 | | | \dashv | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Other Isotopes | | | | | _ | | | | | H | \dashv | - | _ | \dashv | 4 | | | \dashv | _ | 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Indicates the parameter was not detected above the instrument Quantitation Limit

Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality control review

Value is rejected due to other contractual criteria examined during the quality control review

Value is rejected due to blank contamination identified during the quality control review Detection Limit in PlocCurles per Liter (PCIL)

EG&G ER Program Rocky Flats Plant

Data Qualifier g >

Valid

Acceptable with qualifications

Rejected

e0720L/rk40

Radiochemical Data Completeness Checklist for Tritium Analyses of Soil and Water

| Α. | Yes Case Narrative |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Yes Abnormalities explained |
| | Yes Matrix Problems explained |
| | Yes Instrument problems explained |
| | Yes Improper collection, storage, preservation, container explained |
| | Yes Hold times met, explained if not met |
| | |
| B. | YesInitial and Continuing Calibration Data Package |
| | Yes Detector ID with Program Settings |
| | Yes Date of Performance Check |
| | Yes Batch Number |
| | Yes NIST Traceable Standards with Certification Date and DPMs |
| | No Quench Monitor Values and CPM for Standard used to check long |
| | term performance of cocktail and instrument |
| | Yes Background-Blank vials CPM Results |
| | 105 Dackground-Diank vians CI ivi Results |
| C. | Yes Blanks Data Package |
| C . | Yes Detector ID |
| | Yes Date Analyzed |
| | Yes Collection Date |
| | Yes Sample IDs counted with blank |
| | Yes Detection Level reported |
| | <u>res</u> Detection Level reported |
| D. | Yes Lab Replicate Data Package |
| ٥. | Yes Detector ID |
| | Yes Date Analyzed |
| | Yes Collection Date |
| | Yes Value obtained for sample, replicates, mean values |
| | |
| | |
| | Yes Statistical analysis of Range, Control Limits |
| E. | Yes Lab Control Samples Data Package |
| L). | |
| | |
| | |
| | Yes Statistical Analysis of Results |
| | |
| F. | Yes Minimum Detectable Activity |
| Ľ. | |
| | |
| | |
| | Yes Date of count Yes Calculated MDA agreement with Required Date atten Level |
| | YesCalculated MDA comparison with Required Detection Level |

| G. | Yes Quench and Efficiency |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Yes Quench Monitor used |
| | Yes Quench Monitor Values and Efficiency Values |
| | Yes Detector ID |
| | Yes_ NBS traceable standards with certification date and DPM |
| | Yes Batch number and sample IDs; Efficiency standard and |
| | backgrounds used |
| | Yes Volume added to cocktail |
| | Yes Cocktail used |
| | Yes Vials used |
| H. | Yes Sample Data Package |
| | Yes Printed Report of results for sample, reruns |
| | Yes Computer calculations |
| | Yes Analyst initials |
| | Yes Raw Data from counter, copies of notebook pages |
| | Yes Instrument log to identify the sample ID with the raw data |
| | from the counter |

e0720/rk40